Procedure for Blood Plasma Neutralization with ESPTM

- 1. Heat-inactivate plasma enzymes by heating undiluted plasma to 60°C for 30 minutes
- hydrochloric acid to the sample and allowing it to incubate at room tem-It is also possible to inactivate plasma enzymes with acidification. The perature for 5 minutes. suggested protocol for acidification is to add 10% final volume of 1-2 M
- ple dilution effect in final calculations. If using the acidification protocol remember to account for the 10% sam-
- with 30 µl enzyme-inactivated plasma sample. Vortex for 10 seconds In a sterile, endotoxin-free borosilicate glass tube mix 270 μ l of ESPTM Buffer #1

!>

- tions (e.g. pH, divalent cation concentrations) for digestion. Add 30 µl ESPIM Protease Solution and vortex for 10 seconds. ESPTM Buffer #1 is specially designed to provide optimal buffer condi-

2

- A control sample containing 30 µl of ESPTM Buffer #1 instead of ESPTM endotoxin content without digestion. Protease Solution can be included with each set of reactions to determine
- 4. 2 Cover tube with Parafilm®.
- Incubate sample in a shaking 37°C water bath
- minutes of treatment. Some samples may require longer incubation. Typical plasma samples show maximum endotoxin recovery after 30-60
- can be performed on digested samples. To verify complete sample digestion, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
- 6. Mix 450 µl Buffer #2 with 50 µl of digested sample.
- ESPTM Buffer #2 is specially designed to provide optimal buffer conditions (e.g. pH, salt and divalent cation concentrations) for endotoxin
- 7. Test samples for endotoxin using an endotoxin detection assay according to manufacturer's specifications.
- positive product control (PPC) according to industry standards Samples treated with ESPTM should be tested both with and without a
- All standards, blanks and controls should be prepared using ESPTM Assay Control Buffer to eliminate any variations that may exist due to differences in buffer conditions.

Procedure for ESPTM Preparation and Storage

- 1. Upon receipt, store ESPTM kit at 4°C.
- ble for 2 years when properly stored Prior to solubilization of ESPTM Protease Solution, the ESPTM kit is sta-
- Before use, add 1 ml ESPTM Buffer #1 to ESPTM Protease Solution bottle
- Mix sample vigorously with vortexing for 5 minutes
- Assure full solubilization by visual inspection.
- ESPTM Protease Solution should be stored at 4°C.
- After solubilization of ESPTM Protease Solution, the ESPTM kit is stable for 3 months when properly stored

developed in 2003 to create products for detection, removal and neutraliza-The Predictive Oncology suite of endotoxin removal technologies were

Oncology **Predictive**

Endotoxin Detection Products:

EndoBind-RTM EndoBind-RTM EndoBind-R™ Endotoxin Removal Products: $EndoPrep^{TM}$ 1 ml column 5 ml column 10 ml bulk 30 reactions 30 reactions EBR-3001.01 EBR-3005.01 EBR-3010.02 EDP-4001.01 ESP-9001.01

Endotoxin Sample Preparation Kit



200 Riverhills Business Park Suite 250 Birmingham, AL 35242

E-mail: orders@predictive-oncology.com

Catalog No: ESP-9001

© 2023 Predictive Oncology

ESPTM Instruction Booklet

www.predictive-oncology.com

Cholesterol Ester Fransport Protein

Apolipoprotein A1

Esterases

Lysozyme

Requires less than 60 minutes for

most samples.

Easy to use.

Compatible with Recombinant

Factor C assays.

- Binding Protein
- -ipopolysaccharide-

mmunoglobulins

Hemoglobin

blood plasma components on endo-toxin and endotoxin detection

Removes interfering effects of

Increases detection accuracy.

Advantages

- Low-Density Lipopro-
- High-Density Lipopro-
- Protein
- Permeability-Increasing Bactericidal/
- Platelets

Ribonuclease A

Urokinase

3ilirubin Plasmin

Jrokinase

system components are most compatible with buffer. Following the provided protocol, the

recombinant Factor C endotoxin detection

Protease Solution and ESPTM Assay control ESPTM Buffer #1, ESPTM Buffer #2, ESPTM

- Soluble CD-14

Lactoferrin

- Serum Amyloid A
- Serine Proteases

Amidases **Chrombin**

Blood Components Known to Alter Endotoxin Detection

ESPTM is a sample treatment system to remove

Product Description

the interfering effects of blood plasma components on endotoxin and allow accurate detection and quantitation. The system consists of


Comparison of ESPTM with Other Methods

amount of endotoxin, treated with the full ESPTM protocol and tested in triplicate using the Lonza Pyro-Gene® assay according to manufacturer's specifications. Each treated To test ESPTM, 10 control citrated human plasma samples (5 male, 5 female) were spiked with a known

tiveness of $\mathbf{ESP^{TM}}$ in detecting endotox in in human plasma samples. The sample was also tested with a Positive Product Control (PPC) according inactivation. The results are given in Table 1. included water instead of plasma. The entire sample set was also treated PPC recovery indicates the amenability of the final product for detection. to manufacturer's specifications. The spike recovery indicates the effecdilution in water and (2) digestion with ESPTM but without heatwith two additional protocols for comparison: (1) heat-inactivated with To measure recovery, the results were compared to control samples that

signed ESPTM buffers are used, spike recovery is over 75% with an accu-A protocol of heat-inactivation and dilution, which is prevalent in the racy approaching 90% 60%. When these two technologies are combined and the specially deartificially low PPC recovery results that indicate inaccuracy of over zymes. This false-activation results in near-saturation of the assay and too high, a result of active serine proteases that interfere with assay ensamples are digested without heat-inactivation the spike recovery is far literature, allows detection of less than 5% of the spiked endotoxin and a PPC recovery indicating over 80% inaccuracy. Alternatively, when the

gested. From these results it is clear that the full ESPTM protocol effecstep. Lanes #3 and 4 contain plasma that was treated with the ESPTM tively removes the vast majority of proteins from plasma that interfere #1 and #2. Lane #5 contains plasma that was heat-inactivated but undiprotocol but using common laboratory buffers instead of ESPTM Buffers plasma treated with the full ESPTM protocol with a 60 minute digestion ysis (Figure 1). Lane #1 contains untreated plasma. Lane #2 contains with various portions of the ESPTM protocol and tested with PAGE anal-To further validate these results, samples of citrated plasma were treated



examined by PAGE in non-reducing conditions. The gel was text), diluted 1:10 in water and examined by PAGE in noncitrated human plasma was treated with the indicated protocol (in the Figure 1. Plasma Digestion with Various Protocols. Normal silver stained for visualization

digestion step show only negligible differences compared to untreated plasma. with endotoxin detection assays or bind and mask endotoxin. Treatments that omit the ESPTM buffers or

Treatment	% Spike Recovery	% PPC Recovery
Heat-Inactivation/Dilution	$4.9 \pm 6.2\%$	$182.7 \pm 30.5\%$
Digestion/Dilution	$353.8 \pm 292.7\%$	$38.4 \pm 54.9\%$
ESP TM Treatment	77.2 ± 26.7%	$89.3 \pm 12.8\%$

0.0

0.5


Table 1. Summary of Results. Summary of the effects of ESP^{nA} treatment on citrated human plasma. The results are based on 10 independent samplest tested in triplicate. "% Spike Recovery" was determined by comparing the plasma results to control experiments performed with endotoxin-free water. "% PPC Recovery" was determined according to manufacturer's specifications.

For detailed explanation of ESP^{rM} protocol and suggestions for sample preparation refer to the Soluble Biotech ESP^{rM} Application Notes

Preparation of Plasma Prior to ESPTM Treatment


A lag time between sample collection and treatment can allow enzymatic destruction of endotoxin or the this, samples should be heat-inactivated or acidified as soon as possible. binding of endotoxin to proteins which will decrease the ability to detect total endotoxin. To minimize

portance of rapid sample treatment. samples or endotoxin species, it demonstrates the imtoxin and the samples given as percentage of control control was considered as 100% of recoverable endocol was treated identically. After incubation all samsample that was heat-inactivated according to the protoceipt/thawing. To demonstrate the extent of inactivadiately after collection and then proceed to plasma tent of inactivation may not be typical of all patient endotoxin to 31% after 120 minutes. Though this exthe plasma further decreased the amount of recoverable 45% of the control (Figure 2). Continued incubation in After one minute active endotoxin was decreased to ples were treated with the normal $\mathbf{ESP^{TM}}$ protocol. The indicated amount of time. As comparison, a plasma ma and allowed to incubate at room temperature for the tion an aliquot of endotoxin was added to citrated plasples should be heat-inactivated immediately upon reoften not possible. In these situations, the plasma samtreatment of whole blood at the time of collection is separation and then to step 2 of the protocol. However, The optimal treatment is to heat-inactivate blood imme-



of citrated plasma and allowed to incubate at room inactivated prior to endotoxin addition. given as percent of a control sample which was heat detectable endotoxin was determined. Results are tion the samples were treated with ESP TM and temperature for the indicated time. After incubaknown amount of endotoxin was added to aliquots Figure 2. Inactivation of Endotoxin in Plasma.

toxin loss, aliquots of hydrochloric acid (HCl) representing 10% of the final volume were added to samthis method should only be used on plasma. To demonstrate the ability of acidification to prevent endo-The other option is acidification. However, adding acid to whole blood will result in hemolysis, therefore



ric acid and a known amount of endotoxin was in in Plasma with Acidification. Samples of citrated plasma were acidified with 10% final added to each. All samples were treated with volume of the indicated molarity of hydrochlo-Figure 3. Overcoming Inactivation of Endotoxby increasing endotoxin recovery. The sample receiving 2 M HCl measured 93.6% of the total endotoxin. 0.6 to 2.0 M HCl resulted in decreasing pH accompanied dency to desolubilize and probably indicate the isoelectric toxin (Figure 3). Addition of 0.1 and 0.3 M HCl decreased as pH 8 and resulted in the recovery of 11.0% of the endowater. Samples receiving water instead of HCl measured consisting of the same amount of endotoxin prepared room temperature for 10 minutes and then treated with the of endotoxin was added. The samples were incubated at the pH of the plasma was measured and a known amount the pH to the 6-7 range and actually resulted in slightly ESPTM protocol. All samples were compared to a control ples of citrated plasma and allowed to equilibrate. Next, point of a major plasma protein. Further acidification with lower endotoxin recovery. These samples also had a ten-

For detailed explanation of ESP^{rm} protocol and suggestions for sample preparation refer to the Soluble Biotech ESP^{rm} Application Notes.

ESPTM and total endotoxin recovery and final

plasma pH were plotted as a function of acid